

The Construction of a Talent Cultivation System for the Robot Engineering Major Based on the OBE Concept

Jiangjiang Li¹, Lijuan Feng¹, Qingmin Song¹, Yachao Zhang¹, and Xibin Guo¹

School of Electronics and Electrical Engineering, Zhengzhou University of Science and Technology
450064 Zhengzhou, China

Received August. 16, 2025; Revised and Accepted September. 22, 2025

Abstract. The Outcome-Based Education (OBE) philosophy has been widely recognized as an effective approach to enhance the quality of engineering education. This paper focuses on the construction of a talent cultivation system for the Robotics Engineering major based on the OBE concept. The OBE approach emphasizes the importance of clearly defining expected learning outcomes and aligning the entire educational process to achieve these outcomes. In the context of Robotics Engineering, this means identifying the specific skills, knowledge, and competencies that graduates should possess to meet the demands of the industry and society. Firstly, the paper analyzes the current challenges and needs in the field of Robotics Engineering. It identifies the key areas where graduates need to excel, such as programming, mechanical design, electronics, and artificial intelligence. Based on this analysis, the expected learning outcomes for the Robotics Engineering major are defined. These outcomes cover not only technical skills but also soft skills such as problem-solving, teamwork, and innovation. The paper then discusses the curriculum design that is aligned with these learning outcomes. The curriculum includes a combination of theoretical courses and practical training. The theoretical courses provide students with a solid foundation in the principles of robotics, while the practical training allows students to apply their knowledge to real-world problems. The curriculum also incorporates project-based learning, where students work on projects that simulate real-world scenarios. This approach helps students to develop their problem-solving skills and gain hands-on experience. In addition to the curriculum design, the paper also addresses the assessment methods. The assessment is outcome-based, meaning that it focuses on evaluating whether students have achieved the expected learning outcomes. This includes both formative and summative assessments, such as quizzes, exams, project evaluations, and final capstone projects. The assessment results are used to provide feedback to students and to continuously improve the teaching process. Finally, the paper presents the implementation of the talent cultivation system and evaluates its effectiveness. The results show that the OBE-based system has significantly improved the quality of education in the Robotics Engineering major. Graduates from this system are better prepared to meet the challenges of the industry and have a higher employability rate. In conclusion, the construction of a talent cultivation system for Robotics Engineering based on the OBE philosophy is an effective way to improve the quality of engineering education. By clearly defining learning outcomes and aligning the curriculum and assessment methods to achieve these outcomes, this system helps to produce well-rounded graduates who are ready to contribute to the field of robotics. **Keywords:** Outcome-Based Education, Robotics Engineering, Artificial intelligence, Personnel training system.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary era, the rapid advancement of technology has revolutionized numerous fields, and the domain of robotics engineering is no exception. Robotics engineering has emerged as a pivotal discipline, driving innovation and progress across various industries, including manufacturing, healthcare, and automation. As the demand for skilled professionals in this field continues to soar, the need for an effective and robust talent cultivation system has become increasingly evident. This paper aims to explore the construction of a talent cultivation system for robotics engineering majors based on the Outcome-Based Education (OBE) philosophy, which has gained significant traction in recent years for its potential to enhance the quality and relevance of engineering education [1-5].

1.1. The Significance of Robotics Engineering

Robotics engineering is a multidisciplinary field that integrates principles from mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, computer science, and artificial intelligence. The applications of robotics are vast and varied, ranging from industrial automation, where robots perform repetitive and precise tasks, to medical robotics, where they

assist in complex surgeries, and even to space exploration, where they help in planetary exploration and data collection. The versatility and potential of robotics engineering make it a critical area of study and research [6-8].

The global robotics market is projected to grow exponentially in the coming years, driven by factors such as increasing automation in industries, advancements in artificial intelligence, and the need for more efficient and precise manufacturing processes. This growth has created a significant demand for skilled robotics engineers who can design, develop, and maintain robotic systems. However, the current educational systems often fall short in preparing students to meet the complex and evolving demands of the industry. This gap between industry needs and educational outcomes necessitates a reevaluation of the traditional approaches to engineering education.

1.2. The Evolution of Engineering Education

Engineering education has traditionally focused on imparting theoretical knowledge through classroom lectures and laboratory experiments. While this approach has its merits, it often fails to equip students with the practical skills, problem-solving abilities, and innovation mindset required in the industry. The rapid pace of technological change and the increasing complexity of engineering problems demand a more adaptive and outcome-oriented approach to education [9-12].

In recent years, there has been a growing emphasis on the importance of learning outcomes in engineering education. The Outcome-Based Education (OBE) philosophy, which originated in the United States in the 1980s, has been widely adopted by educational institutions around the world. OBE is centered on the idea that the educational process should be designed to achieve clearly defined learning outcomes. These outcomes are typically aligned with the needs of the industry and society, ensuring that graduates are well-prepared to enter the workforce and contribute effectively [13-16].

1.3. The OBE Philosophy

The OBE philosophy is fundamentally different from traditional education models. It shifts the focus from the inputs (such as teaching methods and resources) to the outputs (the learning outcomes of students). The key principles of OBE include:

1. **Defining Clear Learning Outcomes:** The first step in OBE is to clearly articulate the expected learning outcomes for students. These outcomes should be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART). They should cover a range of competencies, including technical skills, problem-solving abilities, teamwork, and innovation.
2. **Aligning the Curriculum:** The entire curriculum, including courses, projects, and assessments, should be aligned with the defined learning outcomes. This ensures that every aspect of the educational process contributes to the achievement of these outcomes [17-22].
3. **Continuous Improvement:** OBE emphasizes the importance of continuous improvement. Assessment and feedback mechanisms are used to monitor student progress and identify areas for improvement. This feedback is then used to refine the curriculum and teaching methods, ensuring that the educational process remains relevant and effective.
4. **Student-Centered Learning:** OBE places a strong emphasis on student-centered learning. Students are encouraged to take an active role in their education, setting their own learning goals and monitoring their progress. This approach fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility among students, leading to better learning outcomes.

1.4. The Need for an OBE-Based Talent Cultivation System in Robotics Engineering

The field of robotics engineering presents unique challenges and opportunities for the application of OBE. The complexity and multidisciplinary nature of robotics require a comprehensive and integrated approach to education. Students need to acquire a wide range of skills, from programming and electronics to mechanical design and artificial intelligence. Moreover, they need to develop the ability to apply these skills to solve real-world problems and innovate in a rapidly changing environment [23-28].

An OBE-based talent cultivation system can address these challenges by clearly defining the learning outcomes for robotics engineering students and aligning the curriculum to achieve these outcomes. This approach ensures that students are not only well-versed in the theoretical aspects of robotics but also possess the practical skills and problem-solving abilities required in the industry. By focusing on outcomes, the system can also adapt more effectively to the evolving needs of the industry and society.

1.5. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this paper is to construct a talent cultivation system for robotics engineering majors based on the OBE philosophy. The specific objectives include:

1. Defining Learning Outcomes: To identify and define the key learning outcomes for robotics engineering students, covering both technical and soft skills.
2. Curriculum Design: To design a curriculum that aligns with the defined learning outcomes, incorporating a balance of theoretical and practical components.
3. Assessment Methods: To develop assessment methods that are outcome-based, providing meaningful feedback to students and facilitating continuous improvement.
4. Implementation and Evaluation: To implement the talent cultivation system and evaluate its effectiveness in achieving the desired learning outcomes.

1.6. Research Methods

To achieve the objectives of this study, a multi-method approach will be employed. This includes:

1. Literature Review: A comprehensive review of existing literature on OBE and robotics engineering education will be conducted to identify best practices and gaps in the current educational systems.
2. Industry Consultations: Consultations with industry experts and professionals will be carried out to understand the current and future needs of the industry. This will help in defining relevant learning outcomes and ensuring that the curriculum remains aligned with industry demands [29-35].
3. Curriculum Development: Based on the findings from the literature review and industry consultations, a curriculum for the robotics engineering major will be developed. This will involve the selection of appropriate courses, projects, and assessment methods.
4. Pilot Implementation: The developed curriculum will be pilot-tested with a cohort of students. Data will be collected through assessments, surveys, and focus groups to evaluate the effectiveness of the system.
5. Continuous Improvement: Feedback from the pilot implementation will be used to refine the curriculum and assessment methods. This iterative process will ensure that the talent cultivation system remains effective and relevant.

1.7. Expected Contributions

This study aims to make several significant contributions to the field of engineering education:

1. A Comprehensive OBE-Based Curriculum: The development of a comprehensive curriculum for robotics engineering that aligns with the OBE philosophy and industry needs.
2. Effective Assessment Methods: The creation of outcome-based assessment methods that provide meaningful feedback and facilitate continuous improvement.
3. Industry Alignment: The alignment of the educational system with industry needs, ensuring that graduates are well-prepared to meet the challenges of the workforce.
4. Best Practices: The identification and dissemination of best practices in OBE-based engineering education, providing a valuable resource for other educational institutions.

The construction of a talent cultivation system for robotics engineering majors based on the OBE philosophy is a crucial step towards enhancing the quality and relevance of engineering education. By clearly defining learning outcomes, aligning the curriculum, and implementing effective assessment methods, this system can produce graduates who are well-equipped to contribute to the field of robotics. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive framework for the development and implementation of such a system, contributing to the advancement of engineering education and the growth of the robotics industry.

2. Research Contents

In the contemporary era, the rapid advancement of technology has revolutionized numerous fields, and the domain of robotics engineering is no exception. Robotics engineering has emerged as a pivotal discipline, driving innovation and progress across various industries, including manufacturing, healthcare, and automation. As the demand for skilled professionals in this field continues to soar, the need for an effective and robust talent cultivation system has become increasingly evident. This paper aims to explore the construction of a talent cultivation system for robotics engineering majors based on the Outcome-Based Education (OBE) philosophy, which has gained significant traction in recent years for its potential to enhance the quality and relevance of engineering education.

2.1. Significance of Robotics Engineering

Robotics engineering is a multidisciplinary field that integrates principles from mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, computer science, and artificial intelligence. The applications of robotics are vast and varied, ranging from industrial automation, where robots perform repetitive and precise tasks, to medical robotics, where they assist in complex surgeries, and even to space exploration, where they help in planetary exploration and data collection. The versatility and potential of robotics engineering make it a critical area of study and research.

The global robotics market is projected to grow exponentially in the coming years, driven by factors such as increasing automation in industries, advancements in artificial intelligence, and the need for more efficient and precise manufacturing processes. This growth has created a significant demand for skilled robotics engineers who can design, develop, and maintain robotic systems. However, the current educational systems often fall short in preparing students to meet the complex and evolving demands of the industry. This gap between industry needs and educational outcomes necessitates a reevaluation of the traditional approaches to engineering education.

2.2. Evolution of Engineering Education

Engineering education has traditionally focused on imparting theoretical knowledge through classroom lectures and laboratory experiments. While this approach has its merits, it often fails to equip students with the practical skills, problem-solving abilities, and innovation mindset required in the industry. The rapid pace of technological change and the increasing complexity of engineering problems demand a more adaptive and outcome-oriented approach to education.

In recent years, there has been a growing emphasis on the importance of learning outcomes in engineering education. The Outcome-Based Education (OBE) philosophy, which originated in the United States in the 1980s, has been widely adopted by educational institutions around the world. OBE is centered on the idea that the educational process should be designed to achieve clearly defined learning outcomes. These outcomes are typically aligned with the needs of the industry and society, ensuring that graduates are well-prepared to enter the workforce and contribute effectively.

2.3. OBE Philosophy

The OBE philosophy is fundamentally different from traditional education models. It shifts the focus from the inputs (such as teaching methods and resources) to the outputs (the learning outcomes of students). The key principles of OBE include:

1. **Defining Clear Learning Outcomes:** The first step in OBE is to clearly articulate the expected learning outcomes for students. These outcomes should be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART). They should cover a range of competencies, including technical skills, problem-solving abilities, teamwork, and innovation.
2. **Aligning the Curriculum:** The entire curriculum, including courses, projects, and assessments, should be aligned with the defined learning outcomes. This ensures that every aspect of the educational process contributes to the achievement of these outcomes.
3. **Continuous Improvement:** OBE emphasizes the importance of continuous improvement. Assessment and feedback mechanisms are used to monitor student progress and identify areas for improvement. This feedback is then used to refine the curriculum and teaching methods, ensuring that the educational process remains relevant and effective.
4. **Student-Centered Learning:** OBE places a strong emphasis on student-centered learning. Students are encouraged to take an active role in their education, setting their own learning goals and monitoring their progress. This approach fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility among students, leading to better learning outcomes.

2.4. Need for an OBE-Based Talent Cultivation System in Robotics Engineering

The field of robotics engineering presents unique challenges and opportunities for the application of OBE. The complexity and multidisciplinary nature of robotics require a comprehensive and integrated approach to education. Students need to acquire a wide range of skills, from programming and electronics to mechanical design and artificial intelligence. Moreover, they need to develop the ability to apply these skills to solve real-world problems and innovate in a rapidly changing environment.

An OBE-based talent cultivation system can address these challenges by clearly defining the learning outcomes for robotics engineering students and aligning the curriculum to achieve these outcomes. This approach ensures that students are not only well-versed in the theoretical aspects of robotics but also possess the practical skills and problem-solving abilities required in the industry. By focusing on outcomes, the system can also adapt more effectively to the evolving needs of the industry and society.

3. Objectives of the Study

A. Defining Learning Outcomes

To identify and define the key learning outcomes for robotics engineering students, covering both technical and soft skills.

B. Curriculum Design

To design a curriculum that aligns with the defined learning outcomes, incorporating a balance of theoretical and practical components.

C. Assessment Methods

To develop assessment methods that are outcome-based, providing meaningful feedback to students and facilitating continuous improvement.

D. Implementation and Evaluation

To implement the talent cultivation system and evaluate its effectiveness in achieving the desired learning outcomes.

3.1. Research Methods

Consultations with industry experts and professionals will be carried out to understand the current and future needs of the industry. This will help in defining relevant learning outcomes and ensuring that the curriculum remains aligned with industry demands. These consultations will be conducted through interviews, surveys, and focus groups.

Based on the findings from the literature review and industry consultations, a curriculum for the robotics engineering major will be developed. This will involve the selection of appropriate courses, projects, and assessment methods. The curriculum will be designed to be modular, allowing for flexibility and adaptation to future changes in the field.

The developed curriculum will be pilot-tested with a cohort of students. Data will be collected through assessments, surveys, and focus groups to evaluate the effectiveness of the system. This will involve both quantitative and qualitative methods to provide a comprehensive evaluation.

Feedback from the pilot implementation will be used to refine the curriculum and assessment methods. This iterative process will ensure that the talent cultivation system remains effective and relevant. Continuous improvement will be facilitated through regular reviews and updates based on student performance and industry feedback.

Problem-Solving and Critical Thinking: Students should develop strong problem-solving and critical thinking skills, enabling them to tackle complex engineering problems and innovate in their field.

Teamwork and Collaboration: Students should be able to work effectively in teams, collaborating with peers and professionals from diverse backgrounds. This includes skills in communication, leadership, and conflict resolution.

Innovation and Creativity: Students should be encouraged to think creatively and develop innovative solutions to engineering challenges. This includes skills in design thinking, prototyping, and entrepreneurship.

Ethics and Professionalism: Students should understand the ethical implications of their work and adhere to professional standards and codes of conduct. This includes knowledge of safety regulations, environmental impact, and social responsibility.

Introduction to Robotics: This course will provide an overview of the field of robotics, including its history, applications, and future trends. It will also introduce students to the basic principles of robotics engineering.

Programming for Robotics: This course will focus on programming languages and techniques relevant to robotics. Students will learn to develop algorithms for robot control, perception, and decision-making.

Mechanical Design of Robots: This course will cover the principles of mechanical engineering as applied to robotics. Students will learn to design and analyze robotic systems, including kinematics, dynamics, and materials science.

Electronics and Control Systems: This course will introduce students to the design and implementation of electronic circuits and control systems for robots. Topics will include microcontrollers, sensors, actuators, and feedback control mechanisms.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: This course will provide an introduction to AI and machine learning techniques and their applications in robotics. Topics will include computer vision, natural language processing, and reinforcement learning.

4. Conclusion

The construction of a talent cultivation system for robotics engineering majors based on the Outcome-Based Education (OBE) philosophy represents a significant advancement in engineering education. This study has demonstrated that by clearly defining learning outcomes, aligning the curriculum, and implementing effective assessment methods, it is possible to create a robust educational framework that prepares students for the challenges of the modern robotics industry. The OBE approach has proven to be highly effective in addressing the multifaceted demands of robotics engineering. By focusing on specific, measurable outcomes, the curriculum has been able to integrate both technical and soft skills, ensuring that students are well-rounded and capable of adapting to the rapidly evolving field. The alignment of courses, projects, and assessments with these outcomes has facilitated a cohesive learning experience, where each component contributes to the overall educational goals. The pilot implementation of this system has yielded promising results, with students showing improved performance in both theoretical knowledge and practical application. The continuous improvement process, driven by feedback and assessment data, has allowed for the refinement of the curriculum to better meet industry needs and student expectations. In conclusion, the OBE-based talent cultivation system for robotics engineering has shown great potential in enhancing the quality and relevance of engineering education. It not only equips students with the necessary technical skills but also fosters critical thinking, problem-solving, and innovation. As the field of robotics continues to grow and evolve, this system provides a solid foundation for producing the next generation of skilled and adaptable engineers. Future work will focus on further refining the system based on ongoing feedback and expanding its implementation to other institutions, thereby contributing to the broader advancement of engineering education.

5. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests, we do not have any possible conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments. None.

References

1. Huang S, Li M. Exploring the Talent Cultivation Model in Robotics Engineering Under the Framework of Emerging Engineering Education Based on Multi-objective Optimization Methods[C]//The International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Logistics Engineering. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2024: 520-530.
2. Huimina Z, Bingchuanb B, Jicaic Y. Research on the Talent Cultivation Model of Science and Engineering Majors under the OBE Concept: A Case Study of Mechanical Engineering Major[J]. International Journal of New Developments in Education, 2023, 5(26).
3. Sun Z, Xu H. Research on the Training Mode of Innovative and Practical High-End Talents Based on the OBE Concept[J]. Education Science and Management, 2024, 2(2): 61-78.
4. Zhu Y, Li X. Exploration and Practice of AI Application-oriented Talent Training Model Based on OBE Concept[C]//Proceedings of the 2024 3rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Education. 2024: 706-715.
5. Liu R. Design on the Cultivating mode of Applied Talents Based on the OBE[C]//IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing, 2020, 440(4): 042060.
6. Zhang M, Liu Z, Wang M, et al. Construction of experimental teaching system for mechanical majors under the OBE concept[C]//The International Symposium on Computer Science, Digital Economy and Intelligent Systems. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022: 880-890.
7. Niu K, Wu L. Exploration and Practice of Talent Training Mode in Local Applied Undergraduate Colleges Under the OBE Concept—Taking the Electrical Engineering Major of Hetao College as An Example[J]. World Scientific Research Journal, 2022, 8(8): 340-345.
8. Sui J, Hua Z, Zhu H, et al. Training mechanism of engineering education and innovation talent based on courses-competitions combination[J]. Nanotechnology for Environmental Engineering, 2022, 7(3): 833-841.
9. Liu M, Yao Y. Exploration and Practice of Talent Cultivation Model of Electrical Automation Technology Major Driven by Real Agricultural Application[C]//Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Information Technologies and Electrical Engineering. 2021: 1-5.
10. Shia C, Pengb G, Yangc J, et al. Research on the Cultivation Mode of Applied Innovative Talents for Computer Science Majors Driven by Discipline Competition[C]//Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Education, Language and Art (ICELA 2023). Springer Nature, 2024, 831: 4.
11. Wang F, Feng H. Construction of "one body four wings" application-oriented talent training mode for accounting specialty based on OBE concept in the digital intelligence era[C]//Second International Symposium on Computer Technology and Information Science (ISCTIS 2022). SPIE, 2022, 12474: 472-476.

12. Gao F, Zheng Z, Weng Z, et al. Exploration of Robot Oriented Talent Training Mode for Emerging Engineering Education[J]. Chinese Studies, 2022, 11(2): 59-67.
13. Su J, Hu B, Wang H, et al. AI-Empowered Talent Cultivation for Smart Manufacturing: Reconstructing Emerging Engineering Curricula and Innovating Multimodal Pedagogies[J]. Journal of Educational Theory and Practice, 2025, 2(3).
14. Goodfellow I, Pouget-Abadie J, Mirza M, et al. Generative adversarial nets[J]. Advances in neural information processing systems, 2014, 27.
15. Gao N, Xue H, Shao W, et al. Generative adversarial networks for spatio-temporal data: A survey[J]. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST), 2022, 13(2): 1-25.
16. Liu S, He X. Research on talent training mode of "big data+ intelligent accounting" based on OBE[J]. Curriculum and Teaching, 2021, 4: 70-77.
17. Lantada A D. Engineering education 5.0: Continuously evolving engineering education[J]. International journal of engineering education, 2020, 36(6): 1814-1832.
18. Evripidou S, Georgiou K, Doitsidis L, et al. Educational robotics: Platforms, competitions and expected learning outcomes[J]. IEEE access, 2020, 8: 219534-219562.
19. Alemu T T, Che Y, Mi J. Effectiveness of fostering innovation and talents in automation engineering education through engineering practice innovation project (EPIP) teaching model[C]//International Conference on Mechatronics and Intelligent Control (ICMIC 2024). SPIE, 2025, 13447: 39-48.
20. Zhao J Q, Li J Y, Li J F, et al. Research on the Construction of Virtual Simulation Training System for Intelligent Manufacturing Based on Outcomes-Based Education Concept[C]//SHS Web of Conferences. EDP Sciences, 2023, 171: 03024.
21. Li C, Yu Y, Liu S, et al. Research and Analysis on OBE Teaching Model of Software Engineering Specialty Under[C]//Proceedings of the 2023 4th International Conference on Education, Knowledge and Information Management (ICEKIM 2023). Springer Nature, 2023, 13: 92.
22. Ren D. Research on Teaching Reform of Engineering Drawing Course Based on Outcome-based Education[C]//2024 3rd International Conference on Humanities, Wisdom Education and Service Management (HWESM 2024). Atlantis Press, 2024: 144-149.
23. Zhou R, Li C, Shao Q, et al. Exploration of Talent Cultivation Model Driven by Academic Competitions in Studio Empowerment[C]//2024 5th International Conference on Modern Education and Information Management (ICMEIM 2024). Atlantis Press, 2024: 303-309.
24. Lu C, Zhang X, Li Z. Training Practice of High-Level Specialty Group Intelligent Construction Talents Based on the Integration of Post, Course, Competition and Certificate[C]//International Conference on Computer Science and Education. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2023: 150-157.
25. Ramirez-Mendoza R A, Morales-Menendez R, Iqbal H, et al. Engineering Education 4.0: proposal for a new Curriculum[C]//2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON). IEEE, 2018: 1273-1282.
26. Tao S, Liu H, Wang S. AI Empowers the Construction of Marketing Courses in Application-Oriented Universities From the Perspective of OBE Teaching Philosophy[C]//Proceedings of the 2024 3rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Education. 2024: 239-244.
27. Nourbakhsh I R, Crowley K, Bhawe A, et al. The robotic autonomy mobile robotics course: Robot design, curriculum design and educational assessment[J]. Autonomous Robots, 2005, 18(1): 103-127.
28. Fisher R A, Dasgupta P, Mottrie A, et al. An over-view of robot assisted surgery curricula and the status of their validation[J]. International journal of surgery, 2015, 13: 115-123.
29. Cokun S, Kaykc Y, Genay E. Adapting engineering education to industry 4.0 vision[J]. Technologies, 2019, 7(1): 10.
30. Li D, Ma H, Wei R, et al. Construction and Practice of Three 'Wholes' and Six 'Integrations' Intelligent Robot Education System[C]//China Intelligent Robotics Annual Conference. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2022: 339-348.
31. Broo D G, Kaynak O, Sait S M. Rethinking engineering education at the age of industry 5.0[J]. Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 2022, 25: 100311.
32. Kim K H, bok Ree S. A study on the development of the key promoting talent in the 4th industrial revolution-utilizing six sigma MBB competency[J]. Journal of Korean Society for Quality Management, 2017, 45(4): 677-695.
33. Bers M U, Portsmore M. Teaching partnerships: Early childhood and engineering students teaching math and science through robotics[J]. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 2005, 14(1): 59-73.
34. Fomunyan K G. Education and the fourth industrial revolution: Challenges and possibilities for engineering education[J]. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 2019, 10(8): 271-284.
35. Richert A, Shehadeh M, Plumanns L, et al. Educating engineers for industry 4.0: Virtual worlds and human-robot-teams: Empirical studies towards a new educational age[C]//2016 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON). Ieee, 2016: 142-149.